

Dissertation Editing Center for university programs.

A coordinated multi-agent review system that delivers chapter-grade dissertation review in 24 hours. Built for doctoral candidates; deployable as institutional capacity for writing centers, graduate schools, and research offices.

The problem we solve

Doctoral candidates produce 25–40 chapter revision passes per program. Traditional editing services price each pass at \$300–\$900 with 7–10 day turnaround. Writing centers run at 8–12x capacity. Candidates default to ad-hoc ChatGPT use with no audit trail.

Our platform delivers methodology alignment, APA 7 verification, citation cross-check, and a 0–100 readiness score in 24 hours, at a flat subscription, with every finding sourced to a verbatim manuscript excerpt and exportable as a committee-shareable audit record.

WHAT WE DELIVER

- Annotated PDF — page-anchored margin notes
- APA 7 report — heading levels, DOIs, hanging indents
- Methodology alignment — RQs ” design coherence
- Citation cross-check vs. reference list
- Submission readiness 0–100 with decomposition
- Exportable audit log per review

HOW WE DIFFER

- Critiques, never authors — first principle
- Editorial board of Ph.D. advisors sets the rules
- 24h turnaround (vs. 7–10 days at human services)
- Flat subscription (vs. per-word pricing)
- No model-training on uploaded manuscripts
- FERPA-aware, SOC 2 Type II in progress

Pilot template — single term

WEEK 1 — ONBOARDING

Identity, retention policy, branding configured with your IT and writing-center leads. SSO/SCIM provisioning. DPA executed.

WEEKS 2–6 — COHORT

Two to three programs deploy to active doctoral and graduate cohorts. Concierge channel staffed for cohort questions.

WEEKS 7–12 — REVIEW

Program analytics, submission readiness lift, writing-center load reduction reported back to your office.

Pilot outcome benchmark

Anonymised Mid-Atlantic R1 R2 Ed.D. program · 47 active candidates · single term · baseline median readiness 58/100 · post-pilot median readiness 76/100 · three of four committee chairs requested access to audit logs alongside drafts.